Case 1:07-cv-09125-AKH Document	1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)
JOSEPH JANNIS AND DANIELA JANNIS	DOCKET NO.
Plaintiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT
- against -	
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,	
Defendants.	
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Hellers 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints for	stein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.
NOTICE (OF ADOPTION
All headings and paragraphs in the Master C instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in addit Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked and specific case information is set forth, as needed, be	with an '\(\varD'\)' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s),
Plaintiffs, JOSEPH JANNIS AND DANIELA GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, comp	· ·

I. PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

1.	✓ Plaintiff, JOSEPH JA	NNIS (hereinafter the "l	Injured Plaintiff"), is an individual and a
citizen of No	ew York residing at 158-14 9	1st Street, Howard Beac	ch, NY 11414-0000.
		(OR)	
2.	Alternatively, \square	is the	of Decedent
	, and brings this claim	in his (her) capacity as	of the Estate of
		, , ,	

Cas	se 1:07-cv-09125-AKH Document	1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 2 of 11		
3. York residing to the Injured	at 158-14 91st Street, Howard Beach, No Plaintiff: SPOUSE at all relevant times by JOSEPH JANNIS, and brings injuries sustained by her husbar	er the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New NY 11414-0000, and has the following relationship herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the nd (his wife), Plaintiff JOSEPH JANNIS. Other:		
4. New York (Fl	In the period from 9/11/2001 to 3/1/20 DNY) as a FireFighter at:	02 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Fire Department		
I	Please be as specific as possible when fi	lling in the following dates and locations		
✓ The World	I Trade Center Site	□ The Barge		
	e., building, quadrant, etc.)	From on or about;		
From on or about _9/11/2001_ until _3/1/2002_; Approximately _12_ hours per day; for Approximately _60_ days total.		Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total		
☐ The New `	York City Medical Examiner's Office	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured		
From on or about until, Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.		plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:		
	Kills Landfill	From on or about until;		
From on or ab Approximatel Approximatel	bout until; ly hours per day; for ly days total.	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:		
	nis information on a separate sheet of pa "Other" locations, please annex a separa	per if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.		
5.	Injured Plaintiff			
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed n above;	oxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated		
	Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	ingested toxic substances and particulates on all		
	Was exposed to and absorbed of the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at		
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.			

6.

Injured	l Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
✓ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 6/20/07 and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	INC.
9 ———— , ,	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
✓ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h ✓ More than thirty days have passed and	HAULING, LLC, INC.
	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR) ☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	CORPORATION
11	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of Claim Nunc Pro Tunc) has been filed and a	INC.
determination	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
	CORP
is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
✓ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
✓ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS, P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	✓ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ C.D. CONTRACTING CORP
York on 6/20/07	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
✓ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
Ithe PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
□ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, ELC □ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	\square EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
□ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC □ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
L / WORLD TRADE COMPANT, L.F.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ ROYAL GM INC. ☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

OTHER:

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	· ·
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-09125-AKH Document 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil ——remov	val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	Jurisdi ut the U.S.C S OF	iction, (or); Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has C. § 1441. ACTION d defendants based upon the following theories
	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: _

Case 1:07-cv-09125-AKH Document 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	V	Cardiovascular Injury: Chest Pain Date of onset: 7/11/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
V	Respiratory Injury: Cough; RADS; Respiratory Problems; Restrictive Lung Defect; Shortness of Breath; Sinus Problems; Wheezing Date of onset: 7/11/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	\	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 7/11/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Digestive Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	V	Other Injury: Chronic Headaches; Sleeping Problems Date of onset: 7/11/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date

NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable

dama	damages:		
 V	Pain and suffering	✓ Medical monitoring✓ Other: Not yet determined.	
V	Loss of the enjoyment of life		
V	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity		
V	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits		
V	Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation		
V	Other: ☑ Mental anguish ☑ Disability		

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York September 27, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Joseph Jannis and Daniela Jannis

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway

12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

Case 1:07-cv-09125-AKH Document 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 10 of 11

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York September 27, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket	No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	JOSEPH JANNIS (AND WIFE, DANIELA JANNIS),
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
====	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
====	To Attorney(s) for
	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
	DOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M. Dated, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP